

In Search of the Perfect Musical Scale

J. N. Hooker

Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, USA
jh38@andrew.cmu.edu

May 2017

Abstract

We analyze results of a search for alternative musical scales that share the main advantages of classical scales: pitch frequencies that bear simple ratios to each other, and multiple keys based on an underlying chromatic scale with tempered tuning. The search is based on combinatorics and a constraint programming model that assigns frequency ratios to intervals. We find that certain 11-note scales on a 19-note chromatic stand out as superior to all others. These scales enjoy harmonic and structural possibilities that go significantly beyond what is available in classical scales and therefore provide a possible medium for innovative musical composition.

1 Introduction

The classical major and minor scales of Western music have two attractive characteristics: pitch frequencies that bear simple ratios to each other, and multiple keys based on an underlying chromatic scale with tempered tuning. Simple ratios allow for rich and intelligible harmonies, while multiple keys greatly expand possibilities for complex musical structure. While these traditional scales have provided the basis for a fabulous outpouring of musical creativity over several centuries, one might ask whether they provide the natural or inevitable framework for music. Perhaps there are alternative scales with the same favorable characteristics—simple ratios and multiple keys—that could unleash even greater creativity.

This paper summarizes the results of a recent study [8] that undertook a systematic search for musically appealing alternative scales. The search

restricts itself to diatonic scales, whose adjacent notes are separated by a whole tone or semitone. It is based on a constraint satisfaction model that, for each suitable diatonic scale, seeks to assign relatively simple ratios to intervals in the scale. The ratios must be amenable to tuning based on equal temperament. To the extent that such an assignment of ratios is possible, the scale is a potential candidate for musical use. We refer to the reader to [8] for the search methodology. Here we echo the motivation for the study and extend the analysis of the results.

The study finds that while the classical 7-note scales deserve the attention they have received, certain 11-note scales based on a 19-note chromatic stand out as possibly even more attractive. These 11-note scales have apparently not been previously identified. However, some of the desirable properties of the 19-note chromatic were noticed during the Renaissance, and this scale has been subsequently studied by others, as discussed below.

After a brief review of previous work, we present a rationale for the simple ratios and multiple keys that characterize the classical scales. We then discuss how these characteristics can be formulated as criteria for nonstandard scales. We then present computational results, focusing on scales based on the 12-note and 19-note chromatics. We exhibit several particular scales that composers may wish to investigate.

2 Previous Work

Composers have experimented with a number of alternative scales in recent decades. One of the most discussed is the Bohlen-Pierce scale, which consists of 9 notes on a 13-tone tempered chromatic scale [25, 10]. The scale spans a twelfth, rather than the traditional octave. It treats notes that lie a twelfth apart as equivalent, much as traditional scales treat notes an octave apart as equivalent. Composers Richard Boulanger, Ami Radunskaya and Jon Appleton have written pieces using the Bohlen-Pierce scale [19]. In this paper we focus instead on scales that span the traditional octave, due to the ear's strong tendency to identify tones an octave apart, and the interesting possibilities that remain to be explored among these scales. Pierce [18] also experimented with a scale that divides the octave into 8 equal intervals, but we will find this scale to be unappealing due to the lack of simple pitch ratios.

A number of composers have written music that uses the quarter-tone scale, in which the octave is divided into 24 equal intervals. Some of the better-known examples are Béla Bartók, Alban Berg, Ernest Bloch, Pierre

Boulez, Aaron Copeland, George Enescu, Charles Ives, and Henry Mancini. We will find, however, that quarter tones do not offer significant musical advantages, at least according to the criteria developed here.

Benson [2] reports that several composers have experimented with “super just” scales that use only perfect ratios. These include Harry Partch (43-tone scale), Wendy Carlos (12 tones), Lou Harrison (16 tones), Wildred Perret (19 tones) [17], John Chalmers (a similar 19-tone scale), and Michael Harrison (24 tones). These scales are not fitted to a tempered chromatic scale as are the scales we discuss here and therefore lack the advantage of providing multiple keys.

Combinatorial properties of scales, keys and tonality have been studied by Balzano [1], Noll [12, 13, 14], and others [5, 6, 16, 27]. The composer Olivier Messiaen studied “modes of limited transposition” (scales with fewer keys than notes in the underlying chromatic) [11].

Sethares [23] formulates an optimization problem for finding an instrumental timbre (i.e. relative strength of upper harmonics) that maximizes the degree to which the notes of a given scale sound consonant with the tonic when played on that instrument. The object is to design an instrument that is most suitable for a given scale, rather than to find possible scales.

To our knowledge, no study prior to [8] conducts a systematic search for scales with simple pitch ratios and multiple keys, nor formulates an optimization or constraint satisfaction problem for conducting such a search. As noted earlier, the 19-note chromatic scale we single out as superior was discovered in the 16th century. The French composer Guillaume Costeley used it in his 1558 chanson *Seigneur Dieu ta pitié*, and the Spanish music theorist and organist Francisco de Salinas recommended the scale in 1577 due to its tuning properties [21]. The scale has subsequently received attention from time to time [9, 22, 26], primarily as a means to obtain more accurate tuning of the traditional intervals. Here we investigate the scale as a source of new diatonic scales that provide intervals that do not occur in the 12-tone chromatic scale.

3 Characteristics of Standard Scales

We first provide a rationale for preserving the main characteristics of standard scales: intervals that correspond to simple frequency ratios, and multiple keys based on tempered tuning.

3.1 Simple Ratios

A *harmonic partial* of a tone (or a harmonic, for short) is an equal or higher tone whose frequency is an integral multiple of the frequency of the original tone. Two tones whose frequencies bear a simple ratio have many harmonics in common, and this helps the ear to recognize the interval between the tones. If the frequency ratio is a/b (where $a > b$ and a, b are coprime), every a th harmonic of the lower tone coincides with every b th harmonic of the upper tone. For example, if $a/b = 3/2$ as in a perfect fifth, every third harmonic of the lower tone coincides with every other harmonic of the upper tone. This coincidence of harmonics is aurally important because a tone produced by almost any acoustic instrument is accompanied by many upper harmonics (or perhaps only odd harmonics, as in the case of a clarinet). The ear therefore learns to associate a given interval with the timbre produced by a certain coincidence of harmonics, and this distinctive timbre makes the interval easier to recognize. In particular, the octave interval tends to be perceived as a unison, because the upper note adds nothing to the harmonic series: every harmonic of the upper note is a harmonic of the lower.

This ease of recognition benefits both harmony and counterpoint, which might be viewed as the two principal mechanisms of Western polyphonic music. The benefit to harmony is clear. It is hard to distinguish one tone cluster from another if the pitch frequencies have no discernible ratios with each other, while if the ratios are simple, a given tone cluster generates a series of harmonics that reinforce each other in a recognizable pattern. Harmony can scarcely play a central role in music if listeners cannot distinguish which chord they are hearing. In addition, harmony adds immeasurably to the composer's expressive palette. Because each chord has its own peculiar timbre, shifting from one set of frequency ratios to another can create a wide variety of effects the listener can readily appreciate, as does moving from 4:5:6 to 10:12:15 (major to minor triad) or from 8:10:12:15 to 12:15:18:20 (major seventh to a "softer" major sixth chord). The expressive use of harmony has been a key element of music at least since J. S. Bach and became especially important for impressionist and jazz composers.¹

Recognizable intervals are equally important for counterpoint, because without them, simultaneous moving voices are perceived as cacophony. Voices

¹Simple ratios also tend to produce intervals that are consonant in some sense, although consonance and dissonance involve other factors as well. One theory is that the perception of dissonance results from beats that are generated by upper harmonics that are close in frequency [19, 20, 23, 24]. We will occasionally refer to simple ratios as resulting in "consonant" intervals, but this is not to deny the other factors involved.

that create recognizable harmonic relationships, on the other hand, can be perceived as passing tones from one recognizable chord to another, thus making counterpoint intelligible. This is confirmed by Schenkerian analysis, which interprets Western music as consisting largely of underlying major and minor triads connected by passing tones [4, 15].

3.2 Multiple Keys

Multiple keys enable a signature trait of Western musical structure: the ability to begin in a tonic key, venture away from the tonic into exotic keys, and eventually return “home” to the tonic with an experience of satisfaction and closure. Multiple keys are implemented by embedding the corresponding 7-note scales within a single 12-note “chromatic” scale with tempered tuning. For example, one can play a major scale rooted at any tone of the chromatic scale by sounding the 1st, 3rd, 5th, 6th, 8th, 10th, and 12th notes of the chromatic scale beginning at that tone. This results in 12 distinct major keys.

It is remarkable that the frequency ratios that define classical scales are closely matched by the pitches in a tempered chromatic scale. The pitches are “tempered” in the sense that they are adjusted so that no key is too far out of tune. Various types of temperament have been used historically, but the modern solution is to use equal temperament, in which the k th pitch of the chromatic scale has a frequency ratio of $2^{(k-1)/12}$ with the first pitch. Table 1 shows tuning errors that result for the major diatonic scale. For example, the fifth note of the scale is slightly flat when played on a

Table 1: Relative pitch errors of the equally tempered major diatonic scale, as a percentage of tempered tuning. Positive errors indicate sharp tuning, negative errors flat tuning.

Note	Perfect ratio	Tempered ratio	Error %	Error cents
1	1:1	1.00000	0.000	0
2	8:9	1.12246	-0.226	-3.91
3	4:5	1.25992	+0.787	+13.69
4	3:4	1.33484	+0.113	+1.96
5	2:3	1.49831	-0.113	-1.96
6	3:5	1.68179	+0.899	+15.64
7	8:15	1.88775	+0.675	+11.73

tempered scale, and the third note is sharp. None of the errors is greater than 0.9%, or about 16 cents.²

Temperament was originally adopted to allow a musical instrument with fixed tuning (such as a piano or organ) to play in all keys. But it has an equally important function in musical composition. It allows one to move into a different key by changing only a few notes of the tonic key, where more “distant” keys share fewer notes with the tonic. For example, the most closely related keys, the dominant and subdominant (rooted at the fifth and fourth note) share 6 of the 7 notes of the tonic key. This allows the composer to exploit a wide range of possible relationships when moving from one key to another, making the musical texture richer and more interesting.

4 Requirements for Alternative Scales

Given the advantages of simple ratios and multiple keys, we will attempt to generate alternative scales with these same characteristics. In general, a scale will have m notes on a chromatic scale of n notes. The equally tempered chromatic pitches should result in intervals with something close to simple ratios.

4.1 Keys and Temperament

The first decision to be made is the tolerance for inaccurate tuning in the tempered scale. The only reliable guide we have is two centuries of experience with the equally tempered 12-tone chromatic. It is famous for producing flat fifths, but the error is much greater for major thirds and sixths, which are sharp. The tempered major third is in fact quite harsh, although we have learned to tolerate it, and the error is magnified in the upper partials. It therefore seems prudent to limit the relative error to the maximum error in the traditional major scale, namely $\pm 0.9\%$, or between -15.51 and $+15.65$ cents.

There are $\binom{n}{m}$ scales of m notes on n chromatic pitches, but many of these scales are aesthetically undesirable. We can begin by considering only diatonic scales, whose adjacent notes are no more than two chromatic tones

²We use the tempered pitch as a base for the percentage error because it is the same across all scales and so permits more direct comparison of errors. A cent is $1/1200$ of an octave, or $1/100$ of a semitone. Thus if two tones differ by c cents, the ratio of their frequencies is $2^{c/1200}$. An error of $+0.9\%$ is equivalent to $+15.65$ cents, and an error of -0.9% to -15.51 cents.

(semitones) apart. Diatonic scales are easier to perform, and restricting ourselves to them helps keep the complexity of the search within bounds.³

A diatonic scale can be represented by a binary tuple $s = (s_1, \dots, s_m)$, where $s_i + 1$ is the number of semitones between note i and note $i + 1$. Because there are n semitones altogether, s must contain $m_0 = 2m - n$ zeros and $m_1 = n - m$ ones. This means that there are $\binom{m}{m_0} = \binom{m}{m_1}$ diatonic scales to consider.

We also adopt the aesthetic convention that semitones should be distributed fairly evenly through the scale rather than bunched up together. One approach is to require the scales to have Myhill's property, discussed by Noll [13]. However, because few scales satisfy this strong property, we require that the scales have a minimum number of semitone and whole-tone adjacencies. That is, the number of pairs (s_i, s_{i+1}) in which $s_i = s_{i+1}$ should be minimized subject to the given m and n , where s_{m+1} is cyclically identified with s_1 . If $m_0 \geq m_1$, the number k_0 of pairs of adjacent zeros can be as few as $m_0 - m_1$, and the number k_1 of adjacent ones can be zero. The reasoning is similar if $m_1 \geq m_0$. We therefore require

$$k_0 = m_0 - \min\{m_0, m_1\}, \quad k_1 = m_1 - \min\{m_0, m_1\}$$

It is not hard to show that the number of diatonic scales satisfying this requirement is

$$\binom{\max\{m_0, m_1\}}{\min\{m_0, m_1\}} + \binom{\max\{m_0, m_1\} - 1}{\min\{m_0, m_1\} - 1} \quad (1)$$

For example, among 7-note scales on a 12-note chromatic, we have $(m_0, m_1) = (2, 5)$, $(k_0, k_1) = (0, 3)$, and $\binom{5}{2} + \binom{4}{1} = 14$ suitable scales.

The number of keys generated by a given scale s depends on the presence of any cyclic repetition in s . Let Δ be the smallest offset that results in the same 0/1 pattern; that is, Δ is the smallest positive integer such that $s_i = s_{i+\Delta}$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$, where s_{m+1}, \dots, s_{2m} are respectively identified with s_1, \dots, s_m . Then there are

$$\Delta + \sum_{j=1}^{\Delta} s_j$$

distinct keys. For the classical major scale $s = (1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0)$, we have $\Delta = 7$, and there are $7 + \sum_{j=1}^7 s_j = 12$ keys. When $\Delta < m$, we

³This restriction excludes the classical harmonic minor scale, in which notes 6 and 7 are separated by three semitones, but the harmonic minor scale can be viewed as a variant of a natural minor scale in which note 7 is raised a semitone for cadences.

have a “mode of limited transposition” [11]. For example, the whole tone scale favored by Debussy has $s = (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$ and $\Delta = 1$, yielding only $1 + s_1 = 2$ keys, which have no notes in common.

4.2 Simple Ratios

In the previous section, we generated scales by considering subsets of notes in a chromatic scale. For each such scale, we now wish to determine whether relatively simple ratios can be assigned to the notes of the scale that are within 0.9% of the tempered pitches. It does not seem necessary that every note be consonant with the tonic, because many of the harmonies that occur in music do not involve the tonic. Yet every note should at least be consonant with another note of the scale, to allow it to take part in harmony at some point.

This requirement is insufficient, however, because it allows subsets of notes that are consonant with each other to be very dissonant with notes in other subsets. To avoid this outcome, we make the requirement recursive, beginning with the tonic. That is, a note is acceptable if it bears a simple ratio with the tonic, or if it bears a simple ratio with another acceptable note. This can result in notes that are rather dissonant with the tonic, but they will always be consonant with notes that closely precede it in the recursion.

We therefore propose that possible ratios be obtained by *generators*, which are simple ratios r that a given note can bear with some other note of the scale (these are not generators in the formal sense of group theory). Because notes an octave apart are identified, there is no need to consider both r and $2/r$ as generators. That is, we need only consider reduced fractions with odd numerators. The first several generators, in order of decreasing simplicity, are

$$\frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{3}, \frac{5}{4}, \frac{7}{4}, \frac{7}{5}, \frac{9}{5}, \frac{7}{6}, \frac{11}{6}, \frac{9}{7}, \frac{11}{7}, \frac{13}{7} \quad (2)$$

It turns out that 2 or 3 generators suffice to obtain almost any of the scales we study. The classical scales can be obtained from the single generator $3/2$, which is why the cycle of fifths is so important in traditional music theory.

A constraint programming model is well suited to formulating this kind of recursive relationship. Such a model is solved in [8] to obtain a small set of simple generators that can yield a given diatonic scale. Several solutions are obtained for each scale s , each of which represents one way the ear might interpret the frequency ratios between the tempered notes of s and the tonic.

5 The Scales

There are two primary decisions to be made when generating scales: into how many intervals should one divide the octave to obtain a chromatic scale, and which diatonic scales on this chromatic should one select? We first examine diatonic scales on the classical 12-tone chromatic scale. We then consider the question of which chromatic scale is best.

5.1 Scales on the 12-note Chromatic

We begin by analyzing scales on the classical 12 chromatic tones, since they can be performed on traditional instruments. The results for 7-note scales appear in Table 2. Since there are multiple solutions for each scale, the table

Table 2: The fourteen 7-note scales on a 12-note chromatic.*

Scale	Ratios with tonic							
1. 0101111	$\frac{1}{1}$	$\frac{16}{15}$	$\frac{6}{5}$	$\frac{5}{4}$	$\frac{45}{32}$	$\frac{8}{5}$	$\frac{16}{9}$	
2. 0110111	$\frac{1}{1}$	$\frac{18}{17}$	$\frac{6}{5}$	$\frac{4}{3}$	$\frac{24}{17}$	$\frac{8}{5}$	$\frac{16}{9}$	Locrian mode
3. 0111011	$\frac{1}{1}$	$\frac{16}{15}$	$\frac{6}{5}$	$\frac{4}{3}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{8}{5}$	$\frac{16}{9}$	Phrygian mode
4. 0111101	$\frac{1}{1}$	$\frac{16}{15}$	$\frac{6}{5}$	$\frac{4}{3}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{5}{3}$	$\frac{16}{9}$	
5. 1010111	$\frac{1}{1}$	$\frac{9}{8}$	$\frac{6}{5}$	$\frac{4}{3}$	$\frac{45}{32}$	$\frac{8}{5}$	$\frac{16}{9}$	
6. 1011011	$\frac{1}{1}$	$\frac{9}{8}$	$\frac{6}{5}$	$\frac{4}{3}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{8}{5}$	$\frac{16}{9}$	Aeolian mode (natural minor)
7. 1011101	$\frac{1}{1}$	$\frac{9}{8}$	$\frac{6}{5}$	$\frac{4}{3}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{5}{3}$	$\frac{16}{9}$	Dorian mode
8. 1011110	$\frac{1}{1}$	$\frac{9}{8}$	$\frac{6}{5}$	$\frac{4}{3}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{5}{3}$	$\frac{15}{8}$	melodic minor
9. 1101011	$\frac{1}{1}$	$\frac{9}{8}$	$\frac{5}{4}$	$\frac{4}{3}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{8}{5}$	$\frac{16}{9}$	
10. 1101101	$\frac{1}{1}$	$\frac{9}{8}$	$\frac{5}{4}$	$\frac{4}{3}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{5}{3}$	$\frac{16}{9}$	Mixolydian mode
11. 1101110	$\frac{1}{1}$	$\frac{9}{8}$	$\frac{5}{4}$	$\frac{4}{3}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{5}{3}$	$\frac{15}{8}$	Ionian mode (major)
12. 1110101	$\frac{1}{1}$	$\frac{9}{8}$	$\frac{5}{4}$	$\frac{45}{32}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{5}{3}$	$\frac{16}{9}$	
13. 1110110	$\frac{1}{1}$	$\frac{9}{8}$	$\frac{5}{4}$	$\frac{45}{32}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{5}{3}$	$\frac{15}{8}$	Lydian mode
14. 1111010	$\frac{1}{1}$	$\frac{9}{8}$	$\frac{5}{4}$	$\frac{45}{32}$	$\frac{8}{5}$	$\frac{5}{3}$	$\frac{15}{8}$	

*We follow the convention of numbering the scales in the order of the tuples s treated as binary numbers.

Table 3: The 6-note whole-tone scale, two 8-note scales, and ten of the thirty 9-note scales on a 12-note chromatic.

Scale	Keys	Ratios with tonic									
1. 111111	2	$\frac{1}{1}$	$\frac{9}{8}$	$\frac{5}{4}$	$\frac{45}{32}$	$\frac{8}{5}$	$\frac{16}{9}$				
1. 01010101	3	$\frac{1}{1}$	$\frac{16}{15}$	$\frac{6}{5}$	$\frac{5}{4}$	$\frac{45}{32}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{5}{3}$	$\frac{16}{9}$		
2. 10101010	3	$\frac{1}{1}$	$\frac{9}{8}$	$\frac{6}{5}$	$\frac{4}{3}$	$\frac{45}{32}$	$\frac{8}{5}$	$\frac{5}{3}$	$\frac{15}{8}$		
21. 100001010	12	$\frac{1}{1}$	$\frac{9}{8}$	$\frac{6}{5}$	$\frac{5}{4}$	$\frac{4}{3}$	$\frac{45}{32}$	$\frac{8}{5}$	$\frac{5}{3}$	$\frac{15}{8}$	
22. 100010010	12	$\frac{1}{1}$	$\frac{9}{8}$	$\frac{6}{5}$	$\frac{5}{4}$	$\frac{4}{3}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{8}{5}$	$\frac{5}{3}$	$\frac{15}{8}$	
23. 100010100	12	$\frac{1}{1}$	$\frac{9}{8}$	$\frac{6}{5}$	$\frac{5}{4}$	$\frac{4}{3}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{8}{5}$	$\frac{16}{9}$	$\frac{15}{8}$	
24. 100100010	12	$\frac{1}{1}$	$\frac{9}{8}$	$\frac{6}{5}$	$\frac{5}{4}$	$\frac{45}{32}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{8}{5}$	$\frac{5}{3}$	$\frac{15}{8}$	
25. 100100100	4	$\frac{1}{1}$	$\frac{9}{8}$	$\frac{6}{5}$	$\frac{5}{4}$	$\frac{45}{32}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{8}{5}$	$\frac{16}{9}$	$\frac{15}{8}$	
26. 100101000	12	$\frac{1}{1}$	$\frac{9}{8}$	$\frac{6}{5}$	$\frac{5}{4}$	$\frac{45}{32}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{5}{3}$	$\frac{16}{9}$	$\frac{15}{8}$	
27. 101000010	12	$\frac{1}{1}$	$\frac{9}{8}$	$\frac{6}{5}$	$\frac{4}{3}$	$\frac{45}{32}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{8}{5}$	$\frac{5}{3}$	$\frac{15}{8}$	
28. 101000100	12	$\frac{1}{1}$	$\frac{9}{8}$	$\frac{6}{5}$	$\frac{4}{3}$	$\frac{45}{32}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{8}{5}$	$\frac{16}{9}$	$\frac{15}{8}$	
29. 101001000	12	$\frac{1}{1}$	$\frac{9}{8}$	$\frac{6}{5}$	$\frac{4}{3}$	$\frac{45}{32}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{5}{3}$	$\frac{16}{9}$	$\frac{15}{8}$	
30. 101010000	12	$\frac{1}{1}$	$\frac{9}{8}$	$\frac{6}{5}$	$\frac{4}{3}$	$\frac{45}{32}$	$\frac{8}{5}$	$\frac{5}{3}$	$\frac{16}{9}$	$\frac{15}{8}$	

displays a solution in which the ratios are simplest (sometimes there are 2 or 3 solutions in which the ratios are more or less equally simple). It also shows the minimal generators for each scale. Most of these scales correspond to the classical Greek modes and/or modern major and minor scales, as indicated in the table. Interestingly, the classical modes are precisely the scales that can be obtained from the single generator $3/2$.⁴

We also investigated nonclassical scales with 6, 8 or 9 notes (Table 3). The only 6-note scale is the whole-tone scale, whose musical possibilities are limited. There are only two 8-note scales, each of which has three keys. The first of the two might be viewed as superior, because it contains both the major third and the fifth, neither of which occurs in the second. However,

⁴For the Dorian, a solution with generators $3/2$ and $5/3$ is shown because it results in simpler ratios. The single generator $3/2$ results in ratios $9/8$, $32/27$, $4/3$, $3/2$, $27/16$, $16/9$.

Table 4: Simple ratios (indicated by heavy black dots) that occur in chromatic scales having 6 to 24 notes.

Ratio	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
3/2	•	•	.	•	.	.	•	.	•
4/3	•	•	.	•	.	.	•	.	•
5/3	.	.	•	.	.	•	•	.	.	•	•	.	.	•	•	.	•	•	•
5/4	•	.	.	•	.	.	•	.	.	•	•	.	•	•	.	•	.	.	•
7/4	•	•	.	.	.	•	•	.	.	.	•	•	.	.	.
6/5	•	.	.	.	•	.	.	.	•	.	.	•	•	.
7/5	•	.	.	•	•	.
8/5	•	.	.	•	.	.	•	.	.	•	•	.	•	•	.	•	•	•	•
9/5	.	•	•	•	•	•	•	.	.	.

the second has a half-step leading tone to the tonic (i.e., $s_8 = 0$), which may be viewed as desirable because it allows for stronger cadences. There are thirty 9-note scales, and these tend to contain a large number of consonant ratios, giving them a distinctive sound. Table 3 displays the 10 scales that begin with a whole tone. Scales 22 and 23 seem especially appealing for composition due to their distribution of semitones and simple ratios. They are identical, except that one has a major sixth and one a dominant seventh interval. The author wrote an extended work for organ using scale 23 [7].

5.2 Selecting a Chromatic Scale

We now consider the question of how many chromatic notes result in attractive scales. One simple screening is how many tones have simple ratios with the tonic (within tolerance), because these ratios then become available for the scales. Table 4 shows the simple ratios that occur in various chromatic scales. The 19-tone scale stands out as clearly superior. It is the the only scale that strictly dominates the classical 12-tone scale, containing its simple ratios plus three more. The 24-note scale (quarter tones) obviously contains all the simple ratios of the classical scale, but no more, and so there is no compelling reason to move to quarter tones. We therefore concentrate on the 19-note chromatic scale.

5.3 Selecting Scales on the 19-note Chromatic

The next question is how many notes a diatonic scale on a 19-note chromatic should contain. Since the 19 tones are already rather closely spaced, it seems desirable to limit the number of semitones in the scale. We therefore rule

Table 6: Four of the seventy-seven 11-note scales on a 19-note chromatic. Each scale has 19 keys.

Scale	Class	Ratios with tonic										
7. 01101011111	E	$\frac{1}{1}$	$\frac{36}{35}$	$\frac{9}{8}$	$\frac{6}{5}$	$\frac{5}{4}$	$\frac{4}{3}$	$\frac{7}{5}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{8}{5}$	$\frac{12}{7}$	$\frac{13}{7}$
27. 10101111110	W	$\frac{1}{1}$	$\frac{16}{15}$	$\frac{9}{8}$	$\frac{6}{5}$	$\frac{5}{4}$	$\frac{4}{3}$	$\frac{10}{7}$	$\frac{14}{9}$	$\frac{5}{3}$	$\frac{9}{5}$	$\frac{35}{18}$
56. 11011110110	P	$\frac{1}{1}$	$\frac{15}{14}$	$\frac{7}{6}$	$\frac{6}{5}$	$\frac{9}{7}$	$\frac{7}{5}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{8}{5}$	$\frac{5}{3}$	$\frac{9}{5}$	$\frac{27}{14}$
72. 11110110110	A	$\frac{1}{1}$	$\frac{15}{14}$	$\frac{7}{6}$	$\frac{5}{4}$	$\frac{4}{3}$	$\frac{7}{5}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{8}{5}$	$\frac{5}{3}$	$\frac{9}{5}$	$\frac{27}{14}$

solutions (sets of ratios) obtained for the scales are very similar. The simpler ratios are almost always the same, while the more complicated ratios are slightly different. For example, ratios $\frac{36}{35}$ and $\frac{13}{7}$ for scale 7 are $\frac{25}{24}$ and $\frac{25}{18}$ in another solution. These represent different ways the ear might interpret the ratios.⁵

Scale 72 (class A) contains the most simple ratios with the tonic, including a fifth, fourth, major third, major sixth, minor sixth, and two additional intervals with ratios $\frac{7}{5}$ and $\frac{9}{5}$. Scale 7 (class E) lacks the fourth and the $\frac{9}{5}$ ratio, but it contains a minor third. It also lacks a half-step leading tone. Scale 56 (class P) contains as many simple ratios as scale 7, but it lacks the major third, which might be regarded as a weakness. Scale 27 (class W) lacks the fifth, perhaps a greater weakness.

The key structure of the 11-note scales contrasts significantly with that of the classical scales, as indicated in Table 7. The table shows the distance of each key from the tonic, where distance is measured by the number of tones that do not occur in the tonic scale. The table also identifies the intervals with the tonic that have simple ratios. It labels the intervals with their traditional names (3rd, minor 6th, etc.,) when appropriate, and with the ratios when there is no corresponding traditional interval.

In traditional scales, the two most closely related keys are what we might call *adjacent* keys, meaning that they differ by only one note. They start on the two most consonant intervals, the fourth and fifth and generate a regular sequence of key distances, namely 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 5, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 (the last part of the sequence is always the first part reversed, and so only the first part will be indicated from here out). In scale 72, by contrast, there are no adjacent

⁵A complete list of all solutions found for each of the 77 scales is available at public.tepper.cmu.edu/jnh/music/scales11notes19.pdf.

Table 7: Key structure of selected scales, showing distance of each key from the tonic and the more consonant intervals. The interval m3rd is a minor third.

<i>Classical major scale</i>																			
Note	1	1♯	2	2♯	3	4	4♯	5	5♯	6	6♯	7							
Interval			2 nd		3 rd	4 th		5 th		6 th		7 th							
Distance	0	5	2	3	4	1	5	1	4	3	2	5							
<i>Scale 23 of 9 notes on 12-note chromatic</i>																			
Note	1	1♯	2	3	4	5	5♯	6	7	7♯	8	9							
Interval			2 nd	m3 rd	3 rd	4 th		5 th	m6 th		m7 th	7 th							
Distance	0	3	3	3	2	2	3	2	2	2	3	3							
<i>Scale 7 of 11 notes on 19-note chromatic (class E)</i>																			
Note	1	2	2♯	3	3♯	4	5	5♯	6	7	7♯	8	8♯	9	9♯	10	10♯	11	11♯
Interval				2 nd		m3 rd	3 rd		4 th	$\frac{7}{5}$		5 th		m6 th	$\frac{12}{7}$				
Distance	0	8	3	5	5	4	5	5	4	5	5	4	5	5	4	5	5	3	8
<i>Scale 27 of 11 notes on 19-note chromatic (class W)</i>																			
Note	1	1♯	2	3	3♯	4	5	5♯	6	6♯	7	7♯	8	8♯	9	9♯	10	10♯	11
Interval				2 nd		m3 rd	3 rd		4 th	$\frac{10}{7}$				6 th		$\frac{9}{5}$			
Distance	0	8	3	5	4	6	3	6	4	5	5	4	6	3	6	4	5	3	8
<i>Scale 56 of 11 notes on 19-note chromatic (class P)</i>																			
Note	1	1♯	2	2♯	3	4	4♯	5	5♯	6	6♯	7	7♯	8	9	9♯	10	10♯	11
Interval					$\frac{7}{6}$	m3 rd		$\frac{9}{7}$		$\frac{7}{5}$		5 th		m6 th	6 th		$\frac{9}{5}$		
Distance	0	8	3	5	6	2	7	3	6	4	4	6	3	7	2	6	5	3	8
<i>Scale 72 of 11 notes on 19-note chromatic (class A)</i>																			
Note	1	1♯	2	2♯	3	3♯	4	4♯	5	6	6♯	7	7♯	8	9	9♯	10	10♯	11
Interval					$\frac{7}{6}$		3 rd		4 th	$\frac{7}{5}$		5 th		m6 th	6 th		$\frac{9}{5}$		
Distance	0	8	3	5	6	2	7	3	6	4	4	6	3	7	2	6	5	3	8

keys. The closest key starts on the major sixth, while the keys starting on the fourth and fifth are among the most distant. The cycle of major 6ths reaches keys with distances 2, 4, 6, 8, 7, 6, 5, 3, 3. There is some regularity here, as the keys move away in increments of 2 until 8 is reached. In scale 7, the closest key starts a step below the tonic, while in scales 27 and 56, it starts a step above the tonic. However, the sequences of key distances are irregular. They are 3, 5, 5, 4, 5, 5, 4, 5, 8 for scale 6; 3, 4, 3, 4, 5, 6, 6, 5, 8 for scale 27; and 3, 6, 7, 6, 4, 3, 2, 5, 8 for scale 56.

We can also contrast the harmonic structure of the 11-note scales with that of the classical major scale. The basic triads in the classical scale are the major triad, with ratios 4:5:6, and the minor triad 10:12:15. The primary quadrads are the major seven chord 8:10:12:15, the minor seven 10:12:15:18, and the all-important dominant seven 36:45:54:64. The rather dissonant dominant seven chord is not so much inspired by harmonic considerations

as by the ubiquitous passing tone from the fifth to the third in cadences, which creates a seven chord with the dominant triad.

The 11-note scales differ harmonically in two major respects: the disappearance of the dominant seven, and the addition of exotic harmonies with simple ratios. For definiteness, we focus on scale 72, which contains the largest collection of simple ratios. While the dominant seven chord 36:45:54:64 occurs in some nonstandard scales (such as 9-note scales 23, 25 and 26 in Table 3), it does not occur in scale 72. This suggests that cadences could look very different than in classical scales.

Like the classical major scale, scale 72 contains the major and minor triads (notes 1-4-7 and 5-8-12) as well as the minor seven chord (9-12-15-18), although it lacks the major seven chord.⁶ It presents several new harmonies with simple ratios as well. There are three triads that might be viewed as compressed minor triads, and that extend nicely to quadrads. One has ratios 5:6:7 that extend to 5:6:7:9 (notes 9-12-14-18), a second has ratios 6:7:8 that extend to 6:7:8:10 (notes 1-3-5-9), and a third has ratios 7:8:10 that extend to 7:8:10:12 (notes 3-5-9-12). The scale also has a quadrad that is similar to a dominant seven chord (notes 5-9-12-15), except that it has a flatter seventh and much simpler ratios 4:5:6:7.

A further question is whether the “tensions” that are widely used in jazz harmony have a parallel in 11-note scales. Tensions are usually formed by adding notes that are a major ninth above notes of an existing chord [3]. As an example, a major seven chord 1-3-5-7 is extended to 1-3-5-7-9-11 \sharp -13. There does in fact seem to be a parallel to tensions in scale 72, except that they are formed by adding notes whose ratio to the next lower note is 6/5. In this way, we can extend the major triad 1-4-7 to 1-4-7-13-15-18-21, with all notes within the same key (the next note 24 \flat moves to a different key). The ratios are exact, except that we must slightly adjust the tension ratio 54/25 of note 13 to 32/15, which is the ratio for this note implied by one of the two solutions of Table 6.

The key structure of the four scales of Table 6 may be unsatisfactory to some composers. There are no adjacent keys, which means there is no progression of keys differing by 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 as in the traditional system. This motivates a look at scales in which there are one or more adjacent keys.

There are 11 such scales, displayed in Table 8. All have the same key structure (i.e., the key distances are the same). Two keys are adjacent, namely those beginning with the 8th and 13th chromatic tones. The 8th

⁶We will find that one chord sounds very similar to a major 7 chord.

Table 8: Key structure of 11-note scales on the 19-note chromatic that have adjacent keys. The key distances are the same for all the scales. The interval $m3^{rd}$ is a minor third.

<i>Scale 9 (class b)</i>																			
Note	1	2	2 \sharp	3	3 \sharp	4	5	5 \sharp	6	6 \sharp	7	7 \sharp	8	9	9 \sharp	10	10 \sharp	11	11 \sharp
Interval				2 nd	$m3^{rd}$	3^{rd}					$\frac{10}{7}$		$\frac{14}{9}$	$m6^{th}$		$\frac{12}{7}$		7^{th}	
Distance	0	8	3	5	6	2	8	1	7	4	4	7	1	8	2	6	5	3	8
<i>Scale 13 (class e)</i>																			
Note	1	2	2 \sharp	3	3 \sharp	4	4 \sharp	5	6	6 \sharp	7	7 \sharp	8	9	9 \sharp	10	10 \sharp	11	11 \sharp
Interval				2 nd	$m3^{rd}$			$\frac{9}{7}$	4^{th}				$\frac{14}{9}$	$m6^{th}$	$\frac{12}{7}$		$\frac{13}{7}$		
<i>Scale 14 (class a)</i>																			
Note	1	2	2 \sharp	3	3 \sharp	4	4 \sharp	5	6	6 \sharp	7	7 \sharp	8	8 \sharp	9	10	10 \sharp	11	11 \sharp
Interval				2 nd	$m3^{rd}$			$\frac{9}{7}$	4^{th}		$\frac{10}{7}$		$\frac{14}{9}$	6^{th}	$\frac{12}{7}$		7^{th}		
<i>Scale 30 (class a)</i>																			
Note	1	1 \sharp	2	3	3 \sharp	4	4 \sharp	5	6	6 \sharp	7	7 \sharp	8	8 \sharp	9	10	10 \sharp	11	11 \sharp
Interval				2 nd	$m3^{rd}$			$\frac{9}{7}$	4^{th}		$\frac{10}{7}$		$\frac{14}{9}$	6^{th}	$\frac{12}{7}$		$\frac{13}{7}$		
<i>Scale 34 (class i)</i>																			
Note	1	1 \sharp	2	3	3 \sharp	4	4 \sharp	5	5 \sharp	6	7	7 \sharp	8	8 \sharp	9	10	10 \sharp	11	11 \sharp
Interval				2 nd	$m3^{rd}$			$\frac{9}{7}$	4^{th}		$\frac{10}{7}$		$\frac{14}{9}$	6^{th}	$\frac{9}{5}$		$\frac{13}{7}$		
<i>Scale 35 (class h)</i>																			
Note	1	1 \sharp	2	3	3 \sharp	4	4 \sharp	5	5 \sharp	6	7	7 \sharp	8	8 \sharp	9	9 \sharp	10	11	11 \sharp
Interval				2 nd	$m3^{rd}$			$\frac{9}{7}$	4^{th}		$\frac{10}{7}$		$\frac{14}{9}$	6^{th}	$\frac{9}{5}$		$\frac{13}{7}$		
<i>Scale 50 (class h)</i>																			
Note	1	1 \sharp	2	2 \sharp	3	4	4 \sharp	5	5 \sharp	6	7	7 \sharp	8	8 \sharp	9	9 \sharp	10	11	11 \sharp
Interval				2 nd	$\frac{7}{6}$	$m3^{rd}$		$\frac{9}{7}$		$\frac{7}{5}$	$\frac{10}{7}$		$\frac{14}{9}$		6^{th}		$\frac{9}{5}$	$\frac{13}{7}$	
<i>Scale 53 (class Q)</i>																			
Note	1	1 \sharp	2	2 \sharp	3	4	4 \sharp	5	5 \sharp	6	6 \sharp	7	8	8 \sharp	9	9 \sharp	10	11	11 \sharp
Interval				2 nd	$\frac{7}{6}$	$m3^{rd}$		$\frac{9}{7}$		$\frac{7}{5}$	$\frac{10}{7}$	5^{th}			6^{th}		$\frac{9}{5}$	$\frac{13}{7}$	
<i>Scale 54 (class h)</i>																			
Note	1	1 \sharp	2	2 \sharp	3	4	4 \sharp	5	5 \sharp	6	6 \sharp	7	8	8 \sharp	9	9 \sharp	10	10 \sharp	11
Interval				2 nd	$\frac{7}{6}$	$m3^{rd}$		$\frac{9}{7}$		$\frac{7}{5}$	$\frac{10}{7}$	5^{th}	$\frac{14}{9}$		6^{th}		$\frac{9}{5}$		
<i>Scale 64 (class N)</i>																			
Note	1	1 \sharp	2	2 \sharp	3	3 \sharp	4	5	5 \sharp	6	6 \sharp	7	8	8 \sharp	9	9 \sharp	10	10 \sharp	11
Interval				2 nd	$\frac{7}{6}$		3^{rd}	$\frac{9}{7}$		$\frac{7}{5}$		5^{th}	$\frac{14}{9}$		6^{th}		$\frac{9}{5}$		
<i>Scale 66 (class M)</i>																			
Note	1	1 \sharp	2	2 \sharp	3	3 \sharp	4	5	5 \sharp	6	6 \sharp	7	7 \sharp	8	9	9 \sharp	10	10 \sharp	11
Interval				2 nd	$\frac{7}{6}$		3^{rd}	$\frac{9}{7}$		$\frac{7}{5}$		5^{th}		$\frac{8}{5}$	6^{th}		$\frac{9}{5}$		

tone is the 5th note of all the scales but one (where it is 5 \sharp), and the 13th tone is the 8th note of all the scales but one (where it is 7 \sharp). Neither the 5th nor 8th note corresponds to an interval of a fourth or fifth, and so there is no cycle of fourths and fifths in the key structure as in the classical case. However, the fifth note invariably has a ratio of $9/7$ with the tonic, which is

a reasonably consonant interval. This gives rise to a cycle of keys that differ from the tonic by 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 8 notes. The cycle based on the 8th note of the scale is perhaps less appealing. Its key distances are of course the same, but the interval of the 8th note with the tonic has a less-than-ideal ratio of 14/9 and no simple ratio at all in 5 scales.

Several of the scales in Table 8 offer a respectable collection of simple ratios with the tonic, particularly scales 53, 64, and 66. These are roughly comparable in consonance to three of the four scales in Table 7. These scales also have the advantage of containing a traditional fifth. However, scale 66 is somewhat less attractive because the 13th chromatic tone is not a note of the scale, and so there is only one adjacent key based on a note of the scale. This suggests that scales 53 and 64 can be singled out as interesting alternatives, one offering a minor third interval and one a major third, roughly parallel to the minor and major scales of tradition.

6 Audio Demonstrations

Two of the scales recommended above are demonstrated in audio files that can be found online.

6.1 Scale 23 on the 12-note Chromatic

A *Chorale and Fugue* for organ [7] using scale 23, with mp3 file, is available at the website public.tepper.cmu.edu/jnh/music. The chorale cycles through the tonic (A) and the two most closely related keys (C♯, F) before returning to the tonic. The cadences illustrate that dominant seven chords need not play a role, even though they occur in the scale. Rather, the cadences use two leading tones and pivot on the tonic, often by moving from the lowered submediant (pivots were popular with such early romantic composers as Beethoven, Mendelssohn and Chopin, but their pivots required a key change). The chorale is followed by a double fugue that again cycles through the three keys A, C♯, F. The first subject enters on these pitches but without a key change (bars 82–88). The second subject illustrates the expanded possibilities for suspensions (e.g., bars 97, 141) and complicated pivots (e.g., bar 99). There are no episodes except in the closing section (bars 164–169), and the last 3 bars illustrate some of the rich harmonic textures available in this scale.

6.2 Scale 72 on the 19-note chromatic

For comparison, both the traditional major scale and scale 72, along with some chords, are synthesized using the same software. The scales are produced using the Hex MIDI sequencer, which is designed to produce scales satisfying Myhill's property, but is here manipulated to produce the 19-note chromatic, from which the desired tones are selected. The MIDI sequence is sent to the Viking synthesizer, which is designed to interpret the MIDI sequence as a nonstandard scale, using a LoopMIDI virtual MIDI cable.

The major scale is at public.tepper.cmu.edu/jnh/12chromatic.mp3. The recording consists of the following (all chords are arpeggiated):

- The major scale.
- The major triad C-E-G (ratios 4:5:6)
- The major 7 chord C-E-G-B (8:10:12:15)
- The minor triad A-C-E (10:12:15)
- The minor 7 chord A-C-E-G (10:12:15:18)
- Tensions (from jazz) C-E-G-B-D-F \sharp -A

Scale 72 is at public.tepper.cmu.edu/jnh/19chromatic.mp3. The recording consists of:

- Scale 72.
- The major triad 1-4-7 (4:5:6).
- The minor triad 5-8-12 (10:12:15).
- The minor 7 chord 9-12-15-18 (10:12:15:18).
- A new chord 9-12-14-18 (5:6:7:9).
- A new chord 1-3-5-9 (6:7:8:10).
- A new chord 5-9-12-15 (4:5:6:7).
- Tensions 1-4-7-10-13-15 \flat -16-19-22.

The ear tends to assimilate the exotic chords to familiar harmonies. Alternatively, the ear may interpret traditional harmonies are equal to one of the above chords when the latter have simpler ratios. For example, the dominant 7 chord 36:45:54:64 could be interpreted as 6:7:8:10 or 7:8:10:12, and the major 7 chord 8:10:12:15 as 4:5:6:7.

7 Conclusion

We reported the results of a systematic search for alternative diatonic scales that share two important characteristics of classical 7-note scales: intervals that correspond to simple ratios, and multiple keys based on a tempered chromatic scale.

We found that two chromatic scales stand out as superior with respect to the number of simple ratios they contain: the classical 12-note chromatic and the 19-note chromatic. This allowed us to narrow the range of search by concentrating on scales based on these two chromatics.

We first studied scales on the 12-tone chromatic having 6, 7, 8, and 9 notes and identified two 9-note scales that, aside from the classical modes, seem particularly appealing. We focused most of our effort, however, on exploring scales on the 19-note chromatic. Scales with 11 notes appear to be the most promising. Four of these 77 scales most deserve attention due to the number of simple ratios they contain. We found these scales to provide significant musical resources that are not available in classical scales, including a contrasting and more complex key structure, as well as a number of new harmonies.

In particular, the most attractive 11-note scale contains, in addition to the classical major and minor triads, three triads and four quadrads with simple ratios that do not appear in traditional scales. These provide many new possibilities for harmonic texture. The scale contains no dominant seventh chord, which suggests that it would inspire very different chord progressions than the classical major and minor scales. In addition, it supports complex tensions that are analogous to but harmonically different from those commonly occurring in jazz arrangements.

We also investigated scales that, unlike the four just discussed, have adjacent keys and therefore generate a regular cycle of increasingly distant keys, much like the cycle of fourths and fifths in traditional scales. We singled out two of these that are richest in consonant intervals and that are roughly parallel to the traditional major and minor scales.

We therefore identify six alternative 11-note scales that could take music in an interesting new direction, and we suggest them to composers as possibly worthy of experimentation. Such experiments would presumably rely primarily on electronic synthesizers, due to the difficulty of building acoustic instruments that support nonstandard scales. It is essential, however, not to generate tones as sine waves or other simplified wave forms. The tones should carry a full complement of upper harmonics that mimic those that would be generated by acoustic instruments, because otherwise

the exotic intervals and harmonies of these scales cannot be easily recognized or appreciated.

References

- [1] G. J. Balzano. The graph-theoretic description of 12-fold and microtonal pitch systems. *Computer Music Journal*, 4:66–84, 1980.
- [2] D. J. Benson. *Music: A Mathematical Offering*. Cambridge University Press, 2006.
- [3] D. Berkman. *The Jazz Harmony Book*. Sher Music Company, 2013.
- [4] M. Brown. *Explaining Tonality: Schenkerian Theory and Beyond*. University of Rochester Press, 2005.
- [5] E. Chew. *Mathematical and Computational Modeling of Tonality: Theory and Application*. Springer, 2014.
- [6] M. Gould. Balzano and Zweifel: Another look at generalized diatonic scales. *Perspectives of New Music*, 38:88–105, 2000.
- [7] J. N. Hooker. Chorale and fugue on a 9-note scale, for organ. Available at web.tepper.cmu.edu/jnh/music, with mp3 file, 2013.
- [8] J. N. Hooker. Finding alternative musical scales. In M. Rueher, editor, *Principles and Practice of Constraint Programming (CP Proceedings)*, volume 9892 of *LNCS*, pages 753–768. 2016.
- [9] M. J. Mandelbaum. *Multiple Division of the Octave and the Tonal Resources of 19-tone Temperament*. PhD thesis, Indiana University, 1961.
- [10] M. V. Mathews, J. R. Pierce, A. Reeves, and L. A. Roberts. Theoretical and experimental exploration of the Bohlen-Pierce scale. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 68:1214–1222, 1988.
- [11] O. Messiaen. *The Technique of My Musical Language*. Alphonse Leduc, Paris, 1944.
- [12] T. Noll. The topos of triads. In H. Friepertinger and L. Reich, editors, *Colloquium on Mathematical Music Theory*, pages 1–26. 2005.

- [13] T. Noll. Musical intervals and special linear transformations. *Journal of Mathematics and Music*, 1:121–137, 2007.
- [14] T. Noll. Getting involved with mathematical music theory. *Journal of Mathematics and Music*, 8:167–182, 2014.
- [15] J. Oswald. *Introduction to the Theory of Heinrich Schenker: The Nature of the Musical Work of Art*. Longman, New York, 1982.
- [16] M. Pearce. The group-theoretic description of musical pitch systems. City University, London, 2002.
- [17] W. Perret. *Some Questions of Musical Theory*. W. Heffer & Sons, Cambridge, 1926.
- [18] J. R. Pierce. Attaining consonance in arbitrary scales. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 40:249, 1966.
- [19] J. R. Pierce. Consonance and scales. In P. R. Cook, editor, *Music, Cognition, and Computerized Sound: An Introduction to Psychoacoustics*, pages 168–184. MIT Press, 2001.
- [20] R. Plomp and W. J. M. Levelt. Tonal consonance and critical bandwidth. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 38:548–560, 1965.
- [21] F. de Salinas. *De Musica*. In M. S. Kastner, editor, *Documenta Musicologica, Series I*, volume 13. 1577 (reprinted 1951).
- [22] W. A. Sethares. Tunings for 19 tone equal tempered guitar. *Experimental Musical Instruments*, 6, 1991.
- [23] W. A. Sethares. Local consonance and the relationship between timbre and scale. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 94:1218–1228, 1993.
- [24] W. A. Sethares. *Tuning, Timbre, Spectrum, Scale*. Springer, 2005.
- [25] H. von Bohlen. Tonstufen in der Duodezine. *Acustica*, 39:76–86, 1978.
- [26] W. S. B. Woolhouse. *Essay on Musical Intervals, Harmonics, and the Temperament of the Musical Scale, &c.* J. Souter, London, 1835.
- [27] P. F. Zweifel. Generalized diatonic and pentatonic scales: A group-theoretic approach. *Perspectives of New Music*, 34:140–161, 1996.